## Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges

## **Implementation of New U.S.D.E. Regulations**

## Academic Senate CCC Accreditation Institute February 10-11, 2012

The U.S. Department of Education issued a number of new regulations for institutions and accreditors that became effective July 1, 2010, and July 1, 2011. These new regulations follow the Higher Education Opportunities Act of 2008, and also reflect the Department of Education's continuing efforts to improve program integrity for its Title IV financial aid funds. Information about some of the 2011 regulations is still being disseminated to institutions, and some was provided as recently as August 15, 2011, to accrediting bodies.

The attached chart is designed to describe some specific procedures that the ACCJC needs comprehensive evaluation teams to undertake in order to demonstrate the ACCJC's compliance with the new regulations. The chart includes condensed reference to the regulatory language and in the right hand column, the procedures the ACCJC wishes teams to follow. Copies of the federal formula for converting clock hours to credit hours will be given to the team and team chair as well.

As the ACCJC develops the 2012-13 editions of the *Team Evaluator Manual*, and other training documents such as the *Guide to Evaluating Institutions*, these new elements will be incorporated into the manuals and there will not be a need for this supplementary material. Please incorporate this material into files for use during the evaluation team visit you will undertake this year, 2011-12.

ACCJC Comprehensive Evaluation Team Responsibilities for Compliance with U.S. Department of Education Regulations HEOA 2008 and regulations effective July 1, 2010 and 2011

"success with respect to student achievement in relation to the institution's mission, including as appropriate consideration of course completion, State licensing examinations, and job placement rates."licensure pass rates The team also exam data provided by th evidence of the ins report cites the use institution fulfills its f, g, h, i; II.A.5; II.A602.16(a)(l)(viii)Standards effectively address the quality of the institution orThe evaluation team awarding credit how	amine institution summary data on course completion rates, s where available, and job placement rates where available. hines program/certificate completion data, and graduation e college. The evaluation team cites this information as titution's accomplishment of mission. The evaluation team of this evidence in describing its evaluation of how well the s mission. ( <i>Standards1.B; 1.B.1-6; II.A; II.A.1.c; II.A.2.a,b,</i> <i>A.6; ER 10-Student Learning and Achievement</i> ) m examines the institution's policy and procedure for
the quality of the institution or awarding credit hou	
And relatedawarded academic credits/degrees/credentials conform to commonly accepted practice including time invested and content mastered.''the ACCJC. The ev corresponding sylla institution has assig Carnegie Unit, and668.8(k)(2)If the institution converts clock hours to credit hours for purposes of federal financial aid, the institution adheres to the Department of Education's 2011 conversion formula• At least one institution• At least one 	ars to determine whether it conforms to the "Carnegie Unit", pted practice in American higher education and accepted by valuation team samples at least five course outlines and abi, and examines the class schedule, to determine that the gned an appropriate amount of work to conform to the this sampling must include: distance education course classroom based course with a laboratory course that provides for clinical practice, if applicable to the class that converts clock hours to credit hours for purposes credit, if the institution does so. <i>See handout on conversion;</i> <i>o each team.</i> Im includes in its narrative its findings as to whether the to the federal regulations, and cites the evidence of the classes ing those sampled classes. ( <i>Standards I.B; I.B.1-6; II.A;</i> <i>2.h; II.A.6.a-c; ER 9-Academic Credit; Policy on Award of</i>

PARAGRAPH USDE	E REGULATION	<b>EVALUATION TEAM TASK</b>
the quality	rds effectively address of the institution in the Record of student	The evaluation team will be sent a copy of any complaints that have been filed with the ACCJC in accordance with the criteria for filing such complaints. The evaluation team will examine the institution's own files containing formal student complaints or student
And related complaints	received by, or o, the agency."	grievances for the five years preceding a comprehensive evaluation. The evaluation team will examine any patterns observed in the complaints to determine whether they constitute evidence that indicates the institution has failed to comply with Accreditation Standards,
available to students ( associations government approve or l and its prog procedures describing t reviewed un (b) "the inst available for or prospecti request a co describing a accreditation or tribal app institution n persons) wit for filing con accreditor a approval or any other re agency that	tion "must make readily enrolled and prospective (a)(6) the names of s, agencies or tal bodies that accredit, license the institution grams and the by which documents that activity may be nder paragraph (b)." titution must make r review to any student ive student upon opy of the documents on and its State, Federal proval or licensing. The must also provide (those th contact information mplaints with its and with its State licensing entity and elevant State official or would appropriately ident's complaint."	evidence that indicates the institution has railed to comply with Accreditation Standards, ERs and policies. Any deficiencies will be identified in the team report as such. ( <i>Standards II.B; II.B.2.c; II.B.3.a; II.B.4; ER 20-Public Information; Policy on Student and Public Complaints Against Institutions</i> ) The evaluation team will examine the institution's means of providing to any student or prospective student information about its accrediting bodies and governmental (usually state) licensing or approval bodies, copies of documents describing an institution's accreditation or governmental approval, as well as contact information for filing complaints with such bodies. ( <i>This is a new regulation effective July 1, 2011</i> ). The team report will describe the institution's compliance with this new requirement. ( <i>ER 20 Public Information</i> )

PARAGRAPH	USDE REGULATION	EVALUATION TEAM TASK
602.17(g)	The agency requires institutions that offer distance education or correspondence education to have processes in place through which the institution establishes that the student who registers in a distance education or correspondence course or program is the same student who participates in and completes the course or program and receives the academic credit. The agency meets this requirement if it:	The evaluation team will examine the efficacy of methods that the institution uses to verify the identity of students enrolled in distance education and correspondence education classes. The evaluation team will describe whether the institution uses the secure log in and password for its distance education classes. If the institution uses other methods for its distance education classes or correspondence classes, the evaluation team will describe those methods and the team's judgment of their efficacy in preserving the integrity of the credits and grades awarded. ( <i>Standards II.A;</i> <i>II.A.1; II.A.2; II.A.2.c, d, e; II.A.7; II.B.1; II.B.2.c; II.A.3.a; II.C.1; Policy on</i> <i>Distance Education and on Correspondence Education</i> )
	<ol> <li>Requires institutions to verify the identity of a student who participates in a class or program by using methods such as:         <ul> <li>(i) A secure log in and passcode</li> <li>(ii) Proctored examinations</li> <li>(iii) New or other technologies and practices that are effective in verifying student identity</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	

PARAGRAPH	USDE REGULATION	EVALUATION TEAM TASK
602.19(a-e)	The agency must demonstrate that it has and effectively applies a set of monitoring and evaluation approaches that enable the agency to identifyinstitutional strengths and stability. These approaches must include collection and analysis of key data and indicators, including fiscal information and measures of student achievement.	Comprehensive evaluation teams must examine the institution's longitudinal data on the institution's fiscal condition, including significant increases or decreases in revenues and enrollments, and identify any team concerns about fiscal stability. Comments should be included in Standard IIID. ( <i>Standards II.D; III.D.1.b, c, d; III.D.2.b, c, g; III.D.3; ER 17-Financial Resources; ER 18-Financial Accountability.</i> Comprehensive evaluation teams must examine the institution's longitudinal data on student achievement (course completion, program/certificate completion, graduation, licensure, job placement data) and identify any team concerns about stability and achievement of mission, as well as any trends that identify strengthened institutional performance. ( <i>Standards I.B; I.B.1-6; II.A.1.c; II.A.2.a,b; II.A.2.f-i; II.A.5; ER 10-Student Learning and Achievement</i> )
602.24(f)	Credit Hour Policies. The accrediting agency, as part of its review for initial accreditation or reaffirmation of accreditation, must conduct an effective review and evaluation of the reliability and accuracy of the institution's assignment of credit hours. Policies and procedures, (34CFR600.2) and application of the institution's policies and procedures to programs and coursework. May use sampling.	See section 602.16(a), on page one of this chart.
668	Gainful Employment. The institution must demonstrate that its CTE programs prepare students for jobs that pay sufficient salaries.	Effective July 1, 2012 accrediting agencies will check the accuracy of data provided to current and prospective students on gainful employment.